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#### Abstract

In this study, we aim to contribute to the increasing interest in functional differential equations by obtaining new existence theorems for non-oscillatory solutions of second-order neutral differential equations involving positive and negative terms which have not been performed in previous studies. We consider different cases for the ranges of the neutral coefficients, by utilizing the Banach contraction mapping principle. The applicability of the results is illustrated by several examples in the last section.
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## 1. Introduction

Differential equations (DEs) with retarded and advanced arguments, known as mixed DEs, appear in many studies in both natural sciences and engineering, for example in the problems of optimal control theory [17], deceleration of neutrons in nuclear reactors [18], models for economic dynamics [19], nerve conduction theory [7], and in a spatial lattice identification of moving waves [14]. Therefore, researches on the properties of solutions of mixed neutral differential equations (NDEs) are of great value regardless of the theory of DEs or their practical applications.

It is a well-known fact that the investigation of oscillatory solutions is very important because of the large number of applications in practical problems. Besides, investigating the existence of non-oscillatory solutions has equally importance. Because when we establish the existence theorems for non-oscillatory solutions completely, the nonexistence criteria for oscillatory solutions are also determined. The existence of non-oscillatory solutions for ordinary DEs or dynamic equations on time scales has been researched by many scientists, see e.g. [10, 11, 16]. Meanwhile, the problem of the existence of non-oscillatory solutions of the NDEs has been studied extensively in the last years. We refer the reader to the papers $[1-3,6,9,20,22,23]$ and references cited therein for recent results on this topic.

In 2005, Zhang et al. [21] dealt with the existence of non-oscillatory solutions of the first order neutral delayed DEs with variable coefficients. The authors obtained sufficient conditions for the existence of non-oscillatory solutions turning on the some different intervals of neutral coefficients. Candan [4] and Mansouri et. al. [15] discussed finding existence criteria for non-oscillatory solutions of first-order NDEs of mixed type, by utilizing Banach's fixed point theorem. In [8], Kong considered a first order mixed NDE involving variable neutral coefficients with their different ranges and established several new existence theorems for non-oscillatory solutions. By using the Banach contraction principle, Candan [5] presented some conditions which ensure the existence of non-oscillatory solutions to a higher order NDE with variable coefficients. In [13], Li and Sun obtained several new theorems for non-oscillatory solutions of higher order NDEs by Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem. Moreover, existence theorems for non-oscillatory solutions of second order mixed type NDEs with positive and negative terms were studied by Li et. al in [12].

Received: 21 February 2023 ; Accepted: 18 April 2023.

* Corresponding author. Email: orhanozdemir37@yahoo.com .

In this study, by defining a neutral term that includes both delayed and advanced arguments of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}(t)=y(t)+\mathcal{R}_{1}(t) \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(y\left(t-\zeta_{1}(t)\right)\right)+\mathcal{R}_{2}(t) \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(y\left(t+\zeta_{2}(t)\right)\right) \tag{N}
\end{equation*}
$$

we deal with the existence of non-oscillatory solutions of second-order nonlinear mixed type NDEs of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a(t) \mathcal{Z}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(G_{i}(t) y\left(t-g_{i}(t)\right)\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(H_{i}(t) y\left(t+h_{i}(t)\right)\right)=\psi(t) \tag{E}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq t_{0}>0$, where the following requirements are always supposed to hold:
(i) $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$ are natural numbers, $\psi, \mathcal{R}_{i}:\left[t_{0}, \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions for $i=1,2$ and $a \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ with $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} 1 / a(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta<\infty$;
(ii) $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}:\left[t_{0}, \infty\right) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ are continuous functions and there exist two positive constants $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ such that

$$
0 \leq \mathcal{F}_{1}(\xi) \leq \mathcal{A}_{1} \xi, \quad 0 \leq \mathcal{F}_{2}(\xi) \leq \mathcal{A}_{2} \xi
$$

(iii) $G_{j}, H_{k}:\left[t_{0}, \infty\right) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ are continuous functions such that not all of the $G_{j}(t)$ and $H_{k}(t)$ vanish in a neighborhood of infinity for $j=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $k=1,2, \ldots, m$;
(iv) $\zeta_{1}(t)>0, \zeta_{2}(t)>0, g_{j}(t) \geq 0$ with $t-\zeta_{1}(t)$ and $t-g_{j}(t)$ are increasing functions for $j=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $h_{k}(t) \geq 0$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, m$.
The purpose of this study is to obtain some new sufficient conditions that ensure the existence of non-oscillatory solutions of NDE (E), by utilizing the Banach contraction mapping principle. To set up our main results, we consider different cases for the ranges of the neutral coefficients $\mathcal{R}_{1}(t)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{2}(t)$.

Let $\alpha=\max \left\{\zeta_{1}(t), g_{1}(t), g_{2}(t), \ldots, g_{n}(t)\right\}$. By a solution of the NDE $(\mathrm{E})$ we understand a function $y \in C\left(\left[T_{x}-\alpha, \infty\right)\right.$, $\mathbb{R})$ such that $\mathcal{Z}, a \mathcal{Z}^{\prime} \in C^{1}\left(\left[T_{x}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ and satisfies $\operatorname{NDE}(\mathrm{E})$ on $\left(\left[T_{x}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$. As usual, such a nontrivial solution of $(\mathrm{E})$ is said to be oscillatory if it is neither eventually negative nor eventually positive, and otherwise it is called non-oscillatory.

## 2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $0 \leq \mathcal{R}_{1}(t) \leq r_{1}<1,0 \leq \mathcal{R}_{2}(t) \leq r_{2}<1-r_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{0}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta<\infty  \tag{2.1}\\
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{0}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta<\infty, \quad \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{0}}^{\eta}|\psi(\vartheta)| \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta<\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then Eq. (E) has one bounded non-oscillatory solution.
Proof. In view of (2.1) and (2.2), a $t_{1}>t_{0}$ can be chosen with

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1} \geq t_{0}+\max \left\{\sup _{t \geq t_{0}} \zeta_{1}(t), \sup _{t \geq t_{0}} g_{1}(t), \sup _{t \geq t_{0}} g_{2}(t), \ldots, \sup _{t \geq t_{0}} g_{n}(t)\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

sufficiently large such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{2}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq \mathcal{E}_{2}-\gamma  \tag{2.4}\\
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{2}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq \gamma-\mathcal{E}_{1}-\left(r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1}+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq 1-r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1}-r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}-\frac{\mathcal{E}_{1}}{\mathcal{E}_{2}}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2}$ are positive constants such that

$$
\mathcal{E}_{1}+\left(r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1}+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{2}<\mathcal{E}_{2} \text { and } \gamma \in\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}+\left(r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1}+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{2}, \mathcal{E}_{2}\right) .
$$

Let $\Omega$ be the set of all continuous and bounded functions on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ with the supremum norm. Then, $\Omega$ is a complete metric space. Set

$$
\Psi=\left\{y \in \Omega: \mathcal{E}_{1} \leq y(t) \leq \mathcal{E}_{2}, t \geq t_{0}\right\} .
$$

Obviously, $\Psi$ is a bounded, closed and convex sub-set of $\Omega$. Consider the operator $\mathcal{T}: \Psi \rightarrow \Omega$ as follows:

$$
(\mathcal{T} y)(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma-\mathcal{R}_{1}(t) \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(y\left(t-\zeta_{1}(t)\right)\right)-\mathcal{R}_{2}(t) \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(y\left(t+\zeta_{2}(t)\right)\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
+\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta-g_{i}(\vartheta)\right)\right. \\
\left.-\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta+h_{i}(\vartheta)\right)-\psi(\vartheta)\right] \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta, \quad t \geq t_{1} \\
(\mathcal{T} y)\left(t_{1}\right), \quad t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{T} y$ is continuous. Meanwhile, for any $y \in \Psi$ and $t \geq t_{1}$, from condition (ii) and inequality (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathcal{T} y)(t) & \leq \gamma+\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta-g_{i}(\vartheta)\right)-\psi(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \\
& \leq \gamma+\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{2}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq \mathcal{E}_{2}, \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and from (2.5), we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathcal{T} y)(t) \geq & \gamma-\mathcal{R}_{1}(t) \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(y\left(t-\zeta_{1}(t)\right)\right)-\mathcal{R}_{2}(t) \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(y\left(t+\zeta_{2}(t)\right)\right) \\
& -\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta+h_{i}(\vartheta)\right)+\psi(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \\
\geq & \gamma-\left(r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1}+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{2} \\
& -\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{2}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \geq \mathcal{E}_{1} . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The inequalities (2.8) and (2.9) imply that $\mathcal{T} \Psi \subset \Psi$. So, in order to apply the contraction mapping principle, it is sufficient to signify that $\mathcal{T}$ is a contraction mapping on $\Psi$. Thus, for every $y_{1}, y_{2} \in \Psi$ and $t \geq t_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\mathcal{T} y_{1}\right)(t)-\left(\mathcal{T} y_{2}\right)(t)\right| & \leq \mathcal{R}_{1}(t)\left|\mathcal{F}_{1}\left(y_{1}\left(t-\zeta_{1}(t)\right)\right)-\mathcal{F}_{1}\left(y_{2}\left(t-\zeta_{1}(t)\right)\right)\right| \\
& +\mathcal{R}_{2}(t)\left|\mathcal{F}_{2}\left(y_{1}\left(t+\zeta_{2}(t)\right)\right)-\mathcal{F}_{2}\left(y_{2}\left(t+\zeta_{2}(t)\right)\right)\right| \\
& +\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)\left|y_{1}\left(\vartheta-g_{i}(\vartheta)\right)-y_{2}\left(\vartheta-g_{i}(\vartheta)\right)\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\left|y_{1}\left(\vartheta+h_{i}(\vartheta)\right)-y_{2}\left(\vartheta+h_{i}(\vartheta)\right)\right|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\mathcal{T} y_{1}\right)(t)-\left(\mathcal{T} y_{2}\right)(t)\right| & \leq\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|\left[r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1}+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}+\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right] \\
& \leq\left[1-\frac{\mathcal{E}_{1}}{\mathcal{E}_{2}}\right]\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|=\mathcal{C}_{1}\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows with the sup norm that

$$
\left|\mathcal{T} y_{1}-\mathcal{T} y_{2}\right| \leq \mathcal{C}_{1}\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|
$$

Since $\mathcal{C}_{1}<1, \mathcal{T}$ is a contraction mapping on $\Psi$. Therefore, there exists a unique bounded non-oscillatory solution, clearly a positive solution of Eq. (E) such that $y \in \Psi$ of $\mathcal{T} y=y$.

Theorem 2.2. Assume that (2.1) and (2.2) hold, $0 \leq \mathcal{R}_{1}(t) \leq r_{1}<1$ and $r_{1}-1<r_{2} \leq \mathcal{R}_{2}(t) \leq 0$. Then Eq. (E) has one bounded non-oscillatory solution.

Proof. In view of (2.1) and (2.2), a sufficiently large $t_{1}>t_{0}$ can be chosen satisfying (2.3) such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{4}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq\left(1+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{4}-\gamma  \tag{2.10}\\
& \int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{4}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq \gamma-\mathcal{E}_{3}-r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1} \mathcal{E}_{4} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq 1-r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1}+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}-\frac{\mathcal{E}_{3}}{\mathcal{E}_{4}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{4}$ are positive constants such that

$$
r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1} \mathcal{E}_{4}+\mathcal{E}_{3}<\left(1+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{4} \text { and } \gamma \in\left(r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1} \mathcal{E}_{4}+\mathcal{E}_{3},\left(1+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{4}\right)
$$

Let $\Omega$ be the set of all continuous and bounded functions on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ with the supremum norm. Then, $\Omega$ is a complete metric space. Set

$$
\Psi=\left\{y \in \Omega: \mathcal{E}_{3} \leq y(t) \leq \mathcal{E}_{4}, t \geq t_{0}\right\}
$$

Obviously, $\Psi$ is a bounded, closed and convex subset of $\Omega$. Consider the operator $\mathcal{T}: \Psi \rightarrow \Omega$ defined in (2.7). For any $y \in \Psi$ and $t \geq t_{1}$, from condition (ii) and inequality (2.10), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathcal{T} y)(t) & \leq \gamma-\mathcal{R}_{2}(t) \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(y\left(t+\zeta_{2}(t)\right)\right)+\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta-g_{i}(\vartheta)\right)-\psi(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \\
& \leq \gamma-r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2} \mathcal{E}_{4}+\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{4}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq \mathcal{E}_{4} \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

and from (2.11), we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathcal{T} y)(t) & \geq \gamma-\mathcal{R}_{1}(t) \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(y\left(t-\zeta_{1}(t)\right)\right)-\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta+h_{i}(\vartheta)\right)+\psi(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \\
& \geq \gamma-r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1} \mathcal{E}_{4}-\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{4}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \geq \mathcal{E}_{3} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

These last two inequalities imply that $\mathcal{T} \Psi \subset \Psi$. So, in order to apply the contraction mapping principle, it is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{T}$ is a contraction mapping on $\Psi$. Thus, for every $y_{1}, y_{2} \in \Psi$ and $t \geq t_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(\mathcal{T} y_{1}\right)(t)-\left(\mathcal{T} y_{2}\right)(t)\right| \leq \mathcal{R}_{1}(t)\left|\mathcal{F}_{1}\left(y_{1}\left(t-\zeta_{1}(t)\right)\right)-\mathcal{F}_{1}\left(y_{2}\left(t-\zeta_{1}(t)\right)\right)\right|-\mathcal{R}_{2}(t)\left|\mathcal{F}_{2}\left(y_{1}\left(t+\zeta_{2}(t)\right)\right)-\mathcal{F}_{2}\left(y_{2}\left(t+\zeta_{2}(t)\right)\right)\right| \\
& \quad+\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)\left|y_{1}\left(\vartheta-g_{i}(\vartheta)\right)-y_{2}\left(\vartheta-g_{i}(\vartheta)\right)\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\left|y_{1}\left(\vartheta+h_{i}(\vartheta)\right)-y_{2}\left(\vartheta+h_{i}(\vartheta)\right)\right|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\mathcal{T} y_{1}\right)(t)-\left(\mathcal{T} y_{2}\right)(t)\right| & \leq\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|\left[r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1}-r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}+\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right] \\
& \leq\left[1-\frac{\mathcal{E}_{3}}{\mathcal{E}_{4}}\right]\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|=\mathcal{C}_{2}\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows with the sup norm that

$$
\left|\mathcal{T} y_{1}-\mathcal{T} y_{2}\right| \leq \mathcal{C}_{2}\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|
$$

Since $\mathcal{C}_{2}<1, \mathcal{T}$ is a contraction mapping on $\Psi$. Therefore, there exists a unique bounded non-oscillatory solution, clearly a positive solution of Eq. (E) such that $y \in \Psi$ of $\mathcal{T} y=y$.

Theorem 2.3. Suppose that (2.1) and (2.2) hold, the inverse functions of $t-\zeta_{1}(t)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ exist with $\left(t-\zeta_{1}(t)\right)^{-1}=$ $\phi(t) \geq t$, and there exist two positive constants $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{1} \xi \leq \mathcal{F}_{1}^{-1}(\xi) \leq \mathcal{B}_{2} \xi$. If $1<r_{1} \leq \mathcal{R}_{1}(t) \leq r_{*}<\infty$ and $0 \leq \mathcal{R}_{2}(t) \leq r_{2}<r_{1}-1$, then Eq. (E) has one bounded non-oscillatory solution.

Proof. In view of (2.1) and (2.2), a $t_{1}>t_{0}$ can be chosen with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(t_{1}\right)-g_{i}\left(\phi\left(t_{1}\right)\right) \geq t_{0}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

sufficiently large such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{6}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq \frac{r_{1} \mathcal{E}_{6}}{\mathcal{B}_{2}}-\gamma  \tag{2.16}\\
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{6}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq \gamma-\left(1+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{6}-\frac{r_{*} \mathcal{E}_{5}}{\mathcal{B}_{1}} \tag{2.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq \frac{r_{1}}{\mathcal{B}_{2}}-\left(1+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right)-\frac{r_{*} \mathcal{E}_{5}}{\mathcal{B}_{1} \mathcal{E}_{6}} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{5}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{6}$ are positive constants such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{6}+\frac{r_{*} \mathcal{E}_{5}}{\mathcal{B}_{1}}<\frac{r_{1} \mathcal{E}_{6}}{\mathcal{B}_{2}} \text { and } \gamma \in\left(\left(1+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{6}+\frac{r_{*} \mathcal{E}_{5}}{\mathcal{B}_{1}}, \frac{r_{1} \mathcal{E}_{6}}{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the supremum norm, let $\Omega$ be the set of all bounded and continuous functions on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Then, $\Omega$ is a complete metric space. Set

$$
\Psi=\left\{y \in \Omega: \mathcal{E}_{5} \leq y(t) \leq \mathcal{E}_{6}, t \geq t_{0}\right\}
$$

Consider the operator $\mathcal{T}: \Psi \rightarrow \Omega$ as follows:

$$
(\mathcal{T} y)(t)= \begin{cases}\mathcal{F}_{1}^{-1}\left\{\frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_{1}(\phi(t))}(\gamma-y(\phi(t))\right. &  \tag{2.20}\\ -\mathcal{R}_{2}(\phi(t)) \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(y(\phi(t))+\zeta_{2}(\phi(t))\right) & \\ +\int_{\phi(t)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{\phi\left(t_{1}\right)}^{\eta}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta-g_{i}(\vartheta)\right)\right. & \\ \left.\left.\left.-\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta+h_{i}(\vartheta)\right)-\psi(\vartheta)\right] \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right)\right\}, & t \geq t_{1} \\ \mathcal{T}(y)\left(t_{1}\right), & t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}\end{cases}
$$

Obviously, $\mathcal{T} y$ is continuous. Meanwhile, for any $y \in \Psi$ and $t \geq t_{1}$, from (2.16) and (2.17), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{T} y)(t) & \leq \frac{\mathcal{B}_{2}}{\mathcal{R}_{1}(\phi(t))}\left(\gamma+\int_{\phi(t)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{\phi\left(t_{1}\right)}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta-g_{i}(\vartheta)\right)-\psi(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\mathcal{B}_{2}}{r_{1}}\left(\gamma+\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{6}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right) \leq \mathcal{E}_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{T} y)(t) \geq & \mathcal{B}_{1}\left(\frac { 1 } { \mathcal { R } _ { 1 } ( \phi ( t ) ) } \left[\gamma-y(\phi(t))-\mathcal{R}_{2}(\phi(t)) \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(y(\phi(t))+\zeta_{2}(\phi(t))\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\int_{\phi(t)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{\phi\left(t_{1}\right)}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta+h_{i}(\vartheta)\right)+\psi(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right]\right) \\
\geq & \frac{\mathcal{B}_{1}}{r_{*}}\left(\gamma-\mathcal{E}_{6}-r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2} \mathcal{E}_{6}\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta+h_{i}(\vartheta)\right)+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right) \geq \mathcal{E}_{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that $\mathcal{T} \Psi \subset \Psi$. So, it is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{T}$ is a contraction mapping on $\Psi$. Thus, for every $y_{1}, y_{2} \in \Psi$ and $t \geq t_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\mathcal{T} y_{1}\right)(t)-\left(\mathcal{T} y_{2}\right)(t)\right| & \leq \frac{\mathcal{B}_{2}}{\mathcal{R}_{1}(\phi(t))}\left\{\left|y_{1}(\phi(t))-y_{2}(\phi(t))\right|+\mathcal{R}_{2}(\phi(t)) \mathcal{A}_{2}\left|y_{1}\left[\phi(t)+\zeta_{2}(\phi(t))\right]-y_{2}\left[\phi(t)+\zeta_{2}(\phi(t))\right]\right|\right. \\
& +\int_{\phi(t)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{\phi\left(t_{1}\right)}^{\eta}\left(G_{i}(\vartheta)\left|y_{1}\left(\vartheta-g_{i}(\vartheta)\right)-y_{2}\left(\vartheta-g_{i}(\vartheta)\right)\right|\right. \\
& \left.\left.+H_{i}(\vartheta)\left|y_{1}\left(\vartheta+h_{i}(\vartheta)\right)-y_{2}\left(\vartheta+h_{i}(\vartheta)\right)\right|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\mathcal{T} y_{1}\right)(t)-\left(\mathcal{T} y_{2}\right)(t)\right| & \leq\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\| \frac{\mathcal{B}_{2}}{r_{1}}\left(1+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}+\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right) \\
& \leq\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|\left[1-\frac{\mathcal{B}_{2} r_{*} \mathcal{E}_{5}}{\mathcal{B}_{1} r_{1} \mathcal{E}_{6}}\right]=\mathcal{C}_{3}\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows with the sup norm that

$$
\left|\mathcal{T} y_{1}-\mathcal{T} y_{2}\right| \leq \mathcal{C}_{3}\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|
$$

Since $\mathcal{C}_{3}<1$ from (2.19), $\mathcal{T}$ is a contraction mapping on $\Psi$. Therefore, there exists a unique bounded non-oscillatory solution, clearly a positive solution of Eq. (E) such that $y \in \Psi$ of $\mathcal{T} y=y$.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose that (2.1) and (2.2) hold, the inverse functions of $t-\zeta_{1}(t)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ exist with $\left(t-\zeta_{1}(t)\right)^{-1}=$ $\phi(t) \geq t$, and there exist two positive constants $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{1} \xi \leq \mathcal{F}_{1}^{-1}(\xi) \leq \mathcal{B}_{2} \xi$. If $1<r_{1} \leq \mathcal{R}_{1}(t) \leq r_{*}<\infty$ and $1-r_{1}<r_{2} \leq \mathcal{R}_{2}(t) \leq 0$, then Eq. (E) has one bounded non-oscillatory solution.

Proof. In view of (2.1) and (2.2), a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ can be chosen sufficiently large satisfying (2.15) such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{8}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq\left(\frac{r_{1}}{\mathcal{B}_{2}}+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{8}-\gamma  \tag{2.21}\\
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{8}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq \gamma-\mathcal{E}_{8}-\frac{r_{*}}{\mathcal{B}_{1}} \mathcal{E}_{7} \tag{2.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq \frac{r_{1}}{\mathcal{B}_{2}}-1+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}-\frac{r_{*} \mathcal{E}_{7}}{\mathcal{B}_{1} \mathcal{E}_{8}} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{7}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{8}$ are positive constants such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{8}+\frac{r_{*} \mathcal{E}_{7}}{\mathcal{B}_{1}}<\left(\frac{r_{1}}{\mathcal{B}_{2}}+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{8} \text { and } \gamma \in\left(\mathcal{E}_{8}+\frac{r_{*} \mathcal{E}_{7}}{\mathcal{B}_{1}},\left(\frac{r_{1}}{\mathcal{B}_{2}}+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{8}\right) . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the supremum norm, let $\Omega$ be the set of all bounded and continuous functions on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Set

$$
\Psi=\left\{y \in \Omega: \mathcal{E}_{7} \leq y(t) \leq \mathcal{E}_{8}, t \geq t_{0}\right\}
$$

Consider the operator $\mathcal{T}: \Psi \rightarrow \Omega$ defined in (2.20). Then, for any $y \in \Psi$, from (2.21) and (2.22) for $t \geq t_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{T} y)(t) \leq & \mathcal{B}_{2}\left(\frac { 1 } { \mathcal { R } _ { 1 } ( \phi ( t ) ) } \left[\gamma-\mathcal{R}_{2}(\phi(t)) \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(y(\phi(t))+\zeta_{2}(\phi(t))\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\int_{\phi(t)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{\phi\left(t_{1}\right)}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta-g_{i}(\vartheta)\right)-\psi(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right]\right) \\
\leq & \frac{\mathcal{B}_{2}}{r_{1}}\left(\gamma-r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2} \mathcal{E}_{8}+\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{8}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right) \leq \mathcal{E}_{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{T} y)(t) \geq & \mathcal{B}_{1}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_{1}(\phi(t))}[\gamma-y(\phi(t))\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\int_{\phi(t)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{\phi\left(t_{1}\right)}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta+h_{i}(\vartheta)\right)+\psi(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right]\right) \\
\geq & \frac{\mathcal{B}_{1}}{r_{*}}\left(\gamma-\mathcal{E}_{8}-\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{8}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right) \geq \mathcal{E}_{7}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the above one can conclude $\mathcal{T} \Psi \subset \Psi$. On the other hand, for every $y_{1}, y_{2} \in \Psi$ and $t \geq t_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\mathcal{T} y_{1}\right)(t)-\left(\mathcal{T} y_{2}\right)(t)\right| & \leq\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\| \frac{\mathcal{B}_{2}}{r_{1}}\left(1-r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}+\int_{\phi(t)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{\phi\left(t_{1}\right)}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right) \\
& \leq\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\| \frac{\mathcal{B}_{2}}{r_{1}}\left(1-r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}+\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right) \\
& \leq\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|\left[1-\frac{\mathcal{B}_{2} r_{*} \mathcal{E}_{7}}{\mathcal{B}_{1} r_{1} \mathcal{E}_{8}}\right]=\mathcal{C}_{4}\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

this shows with the sup norm that

$$
\left|\mathcal{T} y_{1}-\mathcal{T} y_{2}\right| \leq \mathcal{C}_{4}\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\| .
$$

Since $\mathcal{C}_{4}<1$ from (2.24), $\mathcal{T}$ is a contraction mapping on $\Psi$. Therefore, there exists a unique bounded non-oscillatory solution, clearly a positive solution of Eq. (E) such that $y \in \Psi$ of $\mathcal{T} y=y$.
Theorem 2.5. Suppose that (2.1) and (2.2) hold, $-1<r_{1} \leq \mathcal{R}_{1}(t) \leq 0$ and $0 \leq \mathcal{R}_{2}(t) \leq r_{2}<1+r_{1}$. Then Eq. (E) has one bounded non-oscillatory solution.
Proof. Due to (2.1) and (2.2), we can chose a $t_{1}>t_{0}$ sufficiently large satisfying (2.3) such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{10}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq\left(1+r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1}\right) \mathcal{E}_{10}-\gamma,  \tag{2.25}\\
& \int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{10}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq \gamma-r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2} \mathcal{E}_{10}-\mathcal{E}_{9}, \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq 1+r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1}-r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}-\frac{\mathcal{E}_{9}}{\mathcal{E}_{10}}, \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{9}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{10}$ are positive constants such that,

$$
r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2} \mathcal{E}_{10}+\mathcal{E}_{9}<\left(1+r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1}\right) \mathcal{E}_{10} \text { and } \gamma \in\left(r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2} \mathcal{E}_{10}+\mathcal{E}_{9},\left(1+r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1}\right) \mathcal{E}_{10}\right) .
$$

With the supremum norm, let $\Omega$ be the set of all bounded and continuous functions on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Set

$$
\Psi=\left\{y \in \Omega: \mathcal{E}_{9} \leq y(t) \leq \mathcal{E}_{10}, t \geq t_{0}\right\} .
$$

Consider the operator $\mathcal{T}: \Psi \rightarrow \Omega$ as follows:

$$
(\mathcal{T} y)(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma-\mathcal{R}_{1}(t) \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(y\left(t-\zeta_{1}(t)\right)-\mathcal{R}_{2}(t) \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(y\left(t+\zeta_{2}(t)\right)\right)\right.  \tag{2.28}\\
+\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta-g_{i}(\vartheta)\right)\right. \\
\left.-\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta+h_{i}(\vartheta)\right)-\psi(\vartheta)\right] \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta, \quad t \geq t_{1}, \\
(\mathcal{T} y)\left(t_{1}\right), \quad t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Clearly $\mathcal{T} y$ is continuous. For any $y \in \Psi$ and $t \geq t_{1}$, we obtain from (2.25) and (2.26) that

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathcal{T} y)(t) & \leq \gamma-\mathcal{R}_{1}(t) \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(y\left(t+\zeta_{1}(t)\right)\right)+\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta-g_{i}(\vartheta)\right)-\psi(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \\
& \leq \gamma-r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1} \mathcal{E}_{10}+\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{10}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \\
& \leq \mathcal{E}_{10}, \tag{2.29}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathcal{T} y)(t) & \geq \gamma-\mathcal{R}_{2}(t) \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(y\left(t-\zeta_{2}(t)\right)\right)-\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta+h_{i}(\vartheta)\right)+\psi(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \\
& \geq \gamma-r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2} \mathcal{E}_{10}-\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{10}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \\
& \geq \mathcal{E}_{9} . \tag{2.30}
\end{align*}
$$

So $\mathcal{T} \Psi \subset \Psi$. On the other hand, for every $y_{1}, y_{2} \in \Psi$ and $t \geq t_{1}$, we get from (2.27) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\mathcal{T} y_{1}\right)(t)-\left(\mathcal{T} y_{2}\right)(t)\right| & \leq\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|\left(-r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1}+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right) \\
& \leq\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|\left[1-\frac{\mathcal{E}_{9}}{\mathcal{E}_{10}}\right]=\mathcal{C}_{5}\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

this shows with the sup norm that

$$
\left|\mathcal{T} y_{1}-\mathcal{T} y_{2}\right| \leq \mathcal{C}_{5}\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\| .
$$

Since $\mathcal{C}_{5}<1$, then $\mathcal{T}$ is a contraction mapping on $\Psi$. Therefore, there exists a unique bounded non-oscillatory solution, in fact a positive solution of Eq. (E) such that $y \in \Psi$ of $\mathcal{T} y=y$.
Theorem 2.6. Assume that (2.1) and (2.2) hold, $-1<r_{1} \leq \mathcal{R}_{1}(t) \leq 0$ and $-1-r_{1}<r_{2} \leq \mathcal{R}_{2}(t) \leq 0$. Then Eq. (E) admits one bounded non-oscillatory solution.

Proof. Due to (2.1) and (2.2), we can choose a $t_{1}>t_{0}$ sufficiently large satisfying (2.3) such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{12}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq\left(1+r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1}+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{12}-\gamma  \tag{2.31}\\
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{12}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq \gamma-\mathcal{E}_{11} \tag{2.32}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq 1+r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1}+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}-\frac{\mathcal{E}_{11}}{\mathcal{E}_{12}}, \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{11}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{12}$ are positive constants such that

$$
\mathcal{E}_{11}<\left(1+r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1}+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{12} \text { and } \gamma \in\left(\mathcal{E}_{11},\left(1+r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1}+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{12}\right) .
$$

With the sup norm, let $\Omega$ be the set of all bounded and continuous functions on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Then $\Omega$ is a complete metric space. Set

$$
\Psi=\left\{y \in \Omega: \mathcal{E}_{11} \leq y(t) \leq \mathcal{E}_{12}, t \geq t_{0}\right\} .
$$

Consider the operator $\mathcal{T}: \Psi \rightarrow \Omega$ by (2.28). Then for any $y \in \Psi$ and $t \geq t_{1}$, from (2.31) and (2.32), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathcal{T} y)(t) & \leq \gamma-\mathcal{R}_{1}(t) \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(y\left(t-\zeta_{1}(t)\right)\right)-\mathcal{R}_{2}(t) \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(y\left(t+\zeta_{2}(t)\right)\right)+\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta-g_{i}(\vartheta)\right)-\psi(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \\
& \leq \gamma-r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1} \mathcal{E}_{12}-r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2} \mathcal{E}_{12}+\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{12}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq \mathcal{E}_{12} \tag{2.34}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathcal{T} y)(t) & \geq \gamma-\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta+h_{i}(\vartheta)\right)+\psi(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \\
& \geq \gamma-\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{12}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \geq \mathcal{E}_{11} . \tag{2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

So $\mathcal{T} \Psi \subset \Psi$. On the other hand, for every $y_{1}, y_{2} \in \Psi$ and $t \geq t_{1}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\mathcal{T} y_{1}\right)(t)-\left(\mathcal{T} y_{2}\right)(t)\right| & \leq\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|\left(-r_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1}-r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}+\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right) \\
& \leq\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|\left[1-\frac{\mathcal{E}_{11}}{\mathcal{E}_{12}}\right]=\mathcal{C}_{6}\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\left|\mathcal{T} y_{1}-\mathcal{T} y_{2}\right| \leq \mathcal{C}_{6}\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|
$$

i.e., $\mathcal{T}$ is a contraction mapping on $\Psi$. Therefore, there exists a unique bounded non-oscillatory solution, in fact a positive solution of Eq. (E) such that $y \in \Psi$ of $\mathcal{T} y=y$.
Theorem 2.7. Suppose that (2.1) and (2.2) hold, the inverse functions of $t-\zeta_{1}(t)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ exist with $\left(t-\zeta_{1}(t)\right)^{-1}=$ $\phi(t) \geq t$, and there exist two positive real numbers $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{1} \xi \leq \mathcal{F}_{1}^{-1}(\xi) \leq \mathcal{B}_{2} \xi$. If $-\infty<r_{* *} \leq \mathcal{R}_{1}(t) \leq$ $r_{1}<-1$ and $0 \leq \mathcal{R}_{2}(t) \leq r_{2}<-r_{1}-1$, then Eq. (E) admits one bounded non-oscillatory solution.

Proof. From (2.1) and (2.2), we can chose a $t_{1}>t_{0}$ sufficiently large satisfying (2.15) such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} & \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{14}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq \frac{r_{* *}}{\mathcal{B}_{1}} \mathcal{E}_{13}+\gamma  \tag{2.36}\\
& \int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{14}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq-\left(1+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}+\frac{r_{1}}{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\right) \mathcal{E}_{14}-\gamma \tag{2.37}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq \frac{r_{* *} \mathcal{E}_{13}}{\mathcal{B}_{1} \mathcal{E}_{14}}-\left(1+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}+\frac{r_{1}}{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\right) \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{13}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{14}$ are positive constants such that

$$
-\frac{r_{* *} \mathcal{E}_{13}}{\mathcal{B}_{1}}<-\left(1+\frac{r_{1}}{\mathcal{B}_{2}}+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{14} \text { and } \gamma \in\left(-\frac{r_{* *} \mathcal{E}_{13}}{\mathcal{B}_{1}},-\left(1+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}+\frac{r_{1}}{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\right) \mathcal{E}_{14}\right) .
$$

With the sup norm, let $\Omega$ be the set of all bounded and continuous functions on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Set

$$
\Psi=\left\{y \in \Omega: \mathcal{E}_{13} \leq y(t) \leq \mathcal{E}_{14}, t \geq t_{0}\right\}
$$

Consider the operator $\mathcal{T}: \Psi \rightarrow \Omega$ as follows:

$$
(\mathcal{T} y)(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{F}_{1}^{-1}\left\{\frac{-1}{\mathcal{R}_{1}(\phi(t))}(\gamma+y(\phi(t))\right.  \tag{2.39}\\
+\mathcal{R}_{2}(\phi(t)) \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(y(\phi(t))+\zeta_{2}(\phi(t))\right) \\
-\int_{\phi(t)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{\phi\left(t_{1}\right)}^{\eta}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta-g_{i}(\vartheta)\right)\right. \\
\left.\left.\left.-\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta+h_{i}(\vartheta)\right)-\psi(\vartheta)\right] \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right)\right\}, \quad t_{1} \leq t, \\
\mathcal{T}(y)\left(t_{1}\right), \quad t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{T} y$ is continuous. Meanwhile, for any $y \in \Psi$ and $t \geq t_{1}$, from (2.36) and (2.37), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathcal{T} y)(t) \leq & \mathcal{B}_{2}\left(\frac { - 1 } { \mathcal { R } _ { 1 } ( \phi ( t ) ) } \left[\gamma+y(\phi(t))+\mathcal{R}_{2}(\phi(t)) \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(y(\phi(t))+\zeta_{2}(\phi(t))\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\int_{\phi(t)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{\phi\left(t_{1}\right)}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta+h_{i}(\vartheta)\right)+\psi(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right]\right) \\
\leq & -\frac{\mathcal{B}_{2}}{r_{1}}\left(\gamma+\mathcal{E}_{14}+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2} \mathcal{E}_{14}\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{14}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right) \leq \mathcal{E}_{14}, \tag{2.40}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathcal{T} y)(t) & \geq \mathcal{B}_{1}\left(\frac{-1}{\mathcal{R}_{1}(\phi(t))}\left(\gamma-\int_{\phi(t)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{\phi\left(t_{1}\right)}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta-g_{i}(\vartheta)\right)-\psi(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right)\right) \\
& \geq-\frac{\mathcal{B}_{1}}{r_{* *}}\left(\gamma-\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{14}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right) \geq \mathcal{E}_{13} . \tag{2.41}
\end{align*}
$$

This means that $\mathcal{T} \Psi \subset \Psi$. On the other hand, for every $y_{1}, y_{2} \in \Psi$ and $t \geq t_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\mathcal{T} y_{1}\right)(t)-\left(\mathcal{T} y_{2}\right)(t)\right| & \leq\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\| \frac{-\mathcal{B}_{2}}{r_{1}}\left(1+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}+\int_{\phi(t)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{\phi\left(t_{1}\right)}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right) \\
& \leq\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|\left[1-\frac{\mathcal{B}_{2} r_{* *} \mathcal{E}_{13}}{\mathcal{B}_{1} r_{1} \mathcal{E}_{14}}\right]=\mathcal{C}_{7}\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

this implies that

$$
\left|\mathcal{T} y_{1}-\mathcal{T} y_{2}\right| \leq \mathcal{C}_{7}\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|
$$

Since $\mathcal{C}_{7}<1$ by (2.38), then $\mathcal{T}$ is a contraction mapping on $\Psi$. Therefore, there exists a unique bounded non-oscillatory solution of Eq. (E) such that $y \in \Psi$ of $\mathcal{T} y=y$.

Theorem 2.8. Suppose that (2.1) and (2.2) hold, the inverse functions of $t-\zeta_{1}(t)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ exist with $\left(t-\zeta_{1}(t)\right)^{-1}=$ $\phi(t) \geq t$, and there exist two positive real numbers $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{1} \xi \leq \mathcal{F}_{1}^{-1}(\xi) \leq \mathcal{B}_{2} \xi$. If $-\infty<r_{* *} \leq \mathcal{R}_{1}(t) \leq$ $r_{1}<-1$ and $r_{1}+1<r_{2} \leq \mathcal{R}_{2}(t)<0$, then Eq. (E) admits one bounded non-oscillatory solution.

Proof. Due to (2.1) and (2.2), we can chose a sufficiently large $t_{1}>t_{0}$ that satisfies (2.15) such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{16}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq \frac{r_{* *}}{\mathcal{B}_{1}} \mathcal{E}_{15}+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2} \mathcal{E}_{16}+\gamma  \tag{2.42}\\
& \int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{16}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq-\left(1+\frac{r_{1}}{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\right) \mathcal{E}_{16}-\gamma \tag{2.43}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta \leq r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}+\frac{r_{* *} \mathcal{E}_{15}}{\mathcal{B}_{1} \mathcal{E}_{16}}-1-\frac{r_{1}}{\mathcal{B}_{2}} \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{15}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{16}$ are positive constants such that

$$
-\left(r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2} \mathcal{E}_{16}+\frac{r_{* *} \mathcal{E}_{15}}{\mathcal{B}_{1}}\right)<-\left(1+\frac{r_{1}}{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\right) \mathcal{E}_{16} \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma \in\left(-\left(r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2} \mathcal{E}_{16}+\frac{r_{* *} \mathcal{E}_{15}}{\mathcal{B}_{1}}\right),-\left(1+\frac{r_{1}}{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\right) \mathcal{E}_{16}\right) .
$$

With the sup norm, let $\Omega$ be the set of all bounded and continuous functions on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Set

$$
\Psi=\left\{y \in \Omega: \mathcal{E}_{15} \leq y(t) \leq \mathcal{E}_{16}, t \geq t_{0}\right\} .
$$

Consider the operator $\mathcal{T}: \Psi \rightarrow \Omega$ as (2.39). For $y \in \Psi$ and $t \geq t_{1}$, from (2.42) and (2.43),

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathcal{T} y)(t) & \leq \mathcal{B}_{2}\left(\frac{-1}{\mathcal{R}_{1}(\phi(t))}\left[\gamma+y(\phi(t))+\int_{\phi(t)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{\phi\left(t_{1}\right)}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta+h_{i}(\vartheta)\right)+\psi(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right]\right) \\
& \leq \frac{-\mathcal{B}_{2}}{r_{1}}\left(\gamma+\mathcal{E}_{16}+\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{16}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right) \\
& \leq \mathcal{E}_{16}, \tag{2.45}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathcal{T} y)(t) \geq & \mathcal{B}_{1}\left(\frac { - 1 } { \mathcal { R } _ { 1 } ( \phi ( t ) ) } \left[\gamma+\mathcal{R}_{2}(\phi(t)) \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(y(\phi(t))+\zeta_{2}(\phi(t))\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\int_{\phi(t)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{\phi\left(t_{1}\right)}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) y\left(\vartheta-g_{i}(\vartheta)\right)-\psi(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right]\right) \\
\geq & \frac{-\mathcal{B}_{1}}{r_{* *}}\left(\gamma+r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2} \mathcal{E}_{16}-\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta) \mathcal{E}_{16}+|\psi(\vartheta)|\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right) \\
\geq & \mathcal{E}_{15}, \tag{2.46}
\end{align*}
$$

this means that $\mathcal{T} \Psi \subset \Psi$. On the other hand, for every $y_{1}, y_{2} \in \Psi$ and $t \geq t_{1}$, we get from (2.44) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\mathcal{T} y_{1}\right)(t)-\left(\mathcal{T} y_{2}\right)(t)\right| & \leq\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\| \frac{-\mathcal{B}_{2}}{r_{1}}\left(1-r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}+\int_{\phi(t)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{\phi\left(t_{1}\right)}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right) \\
& \leq\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\| \frac{-\mathcal{B}_{2}}{r_{1}}\left(1-r_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}+\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}(\vartheta)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(\vartheta)\right) \mathrm{d} \vartheta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right) \\
& \leq\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|\left[1-\frac{\mathcal{B}_{2} r_{* *} \mathcal{E}_{15}}{\mathcal{B}_{1} r_{1} \mathcal{E}_{16}}\right]=\mathcal{C}_{8}\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

this implies that

$$
\left|\mathcal{T} y_{1}-\mathcal{T} y_{2}\right| \leq \mathcal{C}_{8}\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\| .
$$

Since $\mathcal{C}_{8}<1$, then $\mathcal{T}$ is a contraction mapping on $\Psi$. Hence, there exists a unique bounded non-oscillatory solution, in fact an eventually positive solution of (E) such that $y \in \Psi$ of $\mathcal{T} y=y$.

## 3. Applications and a Remark

We give two interesting examples that illustrate the versatility of ours results, in this section. Different illustrative examples can easily be constructed for other theorems, similarly.

Example 3.1. Considerr the NDE

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\exp (t)\left(y(t)-\frac{2}{3} y(t-6 \pi)+\left[\frac{1}{3}-\exp (-3 t)\right] y(t+7 \pi)\right)^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}} \\
& +5 \exp (-2 t) y\left(t-\frac{7 \pi}{2}\right)+3 \exp (-2 t) y(t-2 \pi)  \tag{3.1}\\
& -8 \exp (-2 t) y(t+8 \pi)=-18 \exp (-2 t)
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a(t)=\exp (t), \quad \mathcal{R}_{1}(t)=-\frac{2}{3}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{2}(t)=\frac{1}{3}-\exp (-3 t) \\
& G_{1}(t)=5 \exp (-2 t), \quad G_{2}(t)=3 \exp (-2 t), \quad H_{1}(t)=8 \exp (-2 t)
\end{aligned}
$$

A direct computation ensures that all the conditions of Theorem 2.5 are fulfilled. Actually, $y(t)=3+\sin t$ is such a non-oscillatory solution of Eq. (3.1).

Example 3.2. Consider the NDE

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\exp (t)\left(y(t)-\left[\frac{4}{5}-\exp (-2 t)\right] y\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)-\left[\frac{1}{10}+\exp (-2 t)\right] y(2 t)\right)^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}} \\
& +\frac{6}{5} \exp (-3 t) y\left(\frac{t}{4}\right)+30 \exp (-4 t) y\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)-\frac{6}{5} \exp \left(-\frac{t}{2}\right) y\left(\frac{3 t}{2}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& -30 \exp \left(-\frac{t}{2}\right) y\left(\frac{7 t}{4}\right)=2 \exp (-t)+6 \exp (-2 t)-\frac{156}{5} \exp \left(-\frac{t}{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{R}_{1}(t)=-\frac{4}{5}+\exp (-2 t), \quad \mathcal{R}_{2}(t)=-\frac{1}{10}-\exp (-2 t) \\
& G_{1}(t)=\frac{6}{5} \exp (-3 t), \quad G_{2}(t)=30 \exp (-4 t), \quad H_{1}(t)=\frac{6}{5} \exp \left(-\frac{t}{2}\right) \\
& H_{2}(t)=30 \exp \left(-\frac{t}{2}\right), \quad a(t)=\exp (t)
\end{aligned}
$$

With a direct calculation, one can see that all the conditions of Theorem 2.6 are fulfilled. Indeed, $y(t)=1+\exp (-2 t)$ is such a non-oscillatory, actually positive, solution of Eq. (3.2).

Remark 3.1. It should be pointed out that existence theorems presented in [5, 12, 13] fail to apply to the equations (3.1) and (3.2), because of the structure of functions $G_{1}(t)$ and $G_{2}(t)$ in (3.1), and there exist variable deviating arguments in (3.2).

## 4. Conclusion

This paper contains some sufficient conditions for the existence of non-oscillatory solutions of a comprehensive class of second order functional DEs with a mixed neutral term. By considering different cases for the ranges of the neutral coefficient functions, we utilize the Banach contraction mapping principle to prove our results.
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