



## Existence and uniqueness of solutions for p-Laplacian fractional order boundary value problems

**Rahmat Ali Khan**

Department of Mathematics,  
University of Malaknd at Chakdara Dir Lower,  
Khybar Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan  
E-mail: rahmat.alipk@yahoo.com

**Aziz Khan**

University of Peshawar, Pakistan  
E-mail: azizkhan927@yahoo.com

---

**Abstract** In this paper, we study sufficient conditions for existence and uniqueness of solutions of three point boundary value problem for p-Laplacian fractional order differential equations. We use Schauder's fixed point theorem for existence of solutions and concavity of the operator for uniqueness of solution. We include some examples to show the applicability of our results.

---

**Keywords.** Fractional differential equations, Three point boundary conditions, Fixed point theorems, p-Laplacian operator.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 26A33, 34B15.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The rapidly increasing applications of fractional order differential equations in various fields of sciences such as Engineering, Mathematics, Chemistry, etc [10, 11, 15–17, 21], attracted the interest of many modern scientists. One of the most important area of research in the field of fractional order differential equations is the theory on existence and uniqueness of solutions to nonlinear boundary value problems for fractional order differential equations. This area of research gained much interest in the community of mathematicians and is rapidly growing area. We refer the readers to the recent work [1–7, 12–14, 18–20, 22, 25] and the references therein for the valuable results on the theory of existence of solutions to boundary value problems corresponding to fractional order differential equations.

The theory on existence and uniqueness of solutions to boundary value problems with p-Laplacian operator for ordinary differential equations are well studied. For example, J. Zhang et.al [26] studied multiple periodic solutions of p-Laplacian equation of the form

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_p(u'))' = f(t, u, u'), & t \in [0, T], \\ u(0) = u(T), & u'(0) = u'(T), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

---

Received: 9 November 2014; Accepted: 28 January 2015.

with the tools of degree theory and the method of upper and lower solutions. X. Xu and B. Xu [24] studied sign changing solutions of p-Laplacian equation with a sub-linear nonlinearity at infinity

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_p(u'(t)))' + f(t, u(t), u'(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

by the use of upper and lower solutions method and Leray-Schauder degree theory. In [23], B. Wang studied triple positive solutions for boundary value problems for one-dimensional p-Laplacian on a half line of the form

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_p(x'(t)))' + h(t)f(t, x(t), x'(t)) = 0, & 0 < t < \infty, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

The study of boundary value problems, particularly multi point boundary value problems for fractional differential equations with p-Laplacian operators has attracted the attentions of mathematicians quite recently and only few paper can be found in the literature dealing with p-Laplacian fractional order boundary value problems. Z. Han et.al [9] studied positive solutions to boundary value problems of p-Laplacian fractional differential equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\beta}(\phi_p(D^{\alpha}u(t))) + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, & 0 < t < 1 \\ u(0) = \gamma(\xi) + \lambda, \phi_p(D_{0+}^{\alpha}u(0)) = (\phi_p(D_{0+}^{\alpha}u(1)))' = (\phi_p(D_{0+}^{\alpha}u(0)))'' = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $2 < \beta \leq 3$  are real numbers and  $D_{0+}^{\alpha}$ ,  $D_{0+}^{\beta}$  are standard Caputo fractional derivatives.

Motivated by the above work, we studied existence and uniqueness of solutions to three point boundary value problems for p-Laplacian fractional order differential equation of the form

$$\begin{cases} D^{\alpha}(\phi_p(D^{\beta}u(t))) + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, & t \in [0, 1], \quad 2 < \alpha, \beta \leq 3, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \gamma u'(1) = u'(0), \quad u''(0) = 0 \\ \phi_p(D^{\beta}u(0)) = 0, \phi_p(D^{\beta}u(\xi)) = (\phi_p(D^{\beta}u(1)))', (\phi_p(D^{\beta}u(0)))'' = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where  $0 < \xi, \gamma < 1$ ,  $D^{\alpha}$ ,  $D^{\beta}$  stand for Caputo's fractional derivative and  $\phi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $\phi_p^{-1} = \phi_q$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . We recall some basic definitions and results. For  $\alpha > 0$ , choose  $n = [\alpha] + 1$  if  $\alpha$  is not an integer and  $n = \alpha$  if  $\alpha$  is an integer.

**Definition 1.1.** The fractional order integral of order  $\alpha > 0$  of a function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow R$  is given by

$$I^{\alpha}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds,$$

provided the integral converges.



**Definition 1.2.** For a function  $f \in C^n[0, 1]$ , the Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\alpha$  is define by

$$(D^\alpha)f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{n-\alpha-1} f^{(n)}(s)ds,$$

provided that the right side is pointwise defined on  $(0, \infty)$ .

**Definition 1.3.** A cone  $P$  in a real Banach space  $X$  is called solid if  $P^\circ \neq \emptyset$ , where  $P^\circ$  is the interior of  $P$ . A cone  $P$  of a real Banach space  $X$ , is normal if there exists  $N > 0$  such that  $x \leq y$  implies that  $\|x\| \leq N\|y\|$  for each  $x, y \in P$ , and the minimal  $N$  is called a normal constant of  $P$ .

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $P$  be a solid cone in a real Banach space  $X$ ,  $T : P^\circ \rightarrow P^\circ$  be an operator and  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Then  $T$  is called  $\theta$ -concave operator if  $T(ku) \geq k^\theta T(u)$  for any  $0 < k < 1$  and  $u \in P^\circ$ .

**Lemma 1.5** ([8]). Assume that  $P$  is a normal solid cone in a real Banach space  $X$ ,  $0 < \theta < 1$  and  $T : P^\circ \rightarrow P^\circ$  is a  $\theta$ -concave increasing operator. Then  $T$  has only one fixed point in  $P^\circ$ .

The following results are known [11].

**Lemma 1.6.** For  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ , the following relation hold:

$$D^\alpha t^\beta = \frac{\Gamma(\beta + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta + 1 - \alpha)} t^{\beta-\alpha-1}, \beta > n \text{ and } D^\alpha t^k = 0, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

**Lemma 1.7.** For  $g(t) \in C(0, 1)$ , the homogenous fractional order differential equation  $D^\alpha g(t) = 0$  has a solution

$$g(t) = c_1 + c_2t + c_3t^2 + \dots + c_n t^{n-1}, c_i \in R, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n. \tag{1.6}$$

**Lemma 1.8.** The following result holds for fractional differential equations

$$I^\alpha D^\alpha y(t) = y(t) + c_0 + c_1t + c_2t^2 + \dots + c_{n-1}t^{n-1},$$

for arbitrary  $c_i \in R, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

We need the following lemmas for the proof of our main results.

**Lemma 2.1.** For  $y \in C[0, 1]$ , the boundary value problem for fractional differential equation

$$\begin{cases} D^\beta u(t) = y(t) \quad 2 < \beta \leq 3, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \gamma u'(1) = u'(0), \quad u''(0) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

has a solution of the form

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)y(s)ds, \tag{2.2}$$



where

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}(t-s)^{\beta-1} + \frac{t}{1-\gamma} \frac{\gamma}{\Gamma(\beta-1)}(1-s)^{\beta-2}, & 0 < s \leq t < 1 \\ \frac{t}{1-\gamma} \frac{\gamma}{\Gamma(\beta-1)}(1-s)^{\beta-2}, & 0 < t \leq s < 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

*Proof.* Applying the operator  $I^\beta$  on the differential equation in (2.1) and using lemma (1.8), we obtain

$$u(t) = I^\beta y(t) + c_1 + c_2 t + c_3 t^2. \quad (2.4)$$

The boundary conditions  $u(0) = 0$  and  $u''(0) = 0$  imply that  $c_1 = 0 = c_3$ , and the boundary condition  $\gamma u'(1) = u'(0)$  yields  $c_2 = \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} I^{\beta-1} y(1)$ . Hence, (2.4) takes the form

$$u(t) = I^\beta y(t) + \frac{t\gamma}{1-\gamma} I^{\beta-1} y(1), \quad (2.5)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} y(s) ds + \frac{t\gamma}{1-\gamma} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-2} y(s) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 G(t, s) y(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

□

We note that  $G(t, s) \geq 0$  on  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . Further, for  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$  with  $s \leq t_1 \leq t_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(t_2, s) - G(t_1, s) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} ((t_2 - s)^{\beta-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\beta-1}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma(1-s)^{\beta-2}}{(1-\gamma)\Gamma(\beta-1)} (t_2 - t_1) \\ &\leq \left( \frac{(\beta-1)c^{\beta-2}}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{\gamma}{(1-\gamma)\Gamma(\beta-1)} \right) (t_2 - t_1), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

$c \in (t_1, t_2)$  and for  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$  with  $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq s$ , we have

$$G(t_2, s) - G(t_1, s) = \frac{\gamma(1-s^{\beta-2})}{(1-\gamma)\Gamma(\beta-1)} (t_2 - t_1) \leq \frac{\gamma}{(1-\gamma)\Gamma(\beta-1)} (t_2 - t_1). \quad (2.7)$$

From (2.6) and (2.7), it follows that

$$G(t_2, s) - G(t_1, s) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_1 \rightarrow t_2. \quad (2.8)$$

**Lemma 2.2.** For  $y \in C[0, 1]$ , the boundary value problem for fractional differential equation

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha(\phi_p(D^\beta u(t))) + y(t) = 0, & 2 < \alpha, \beta \leq 3, \\ u(0) = 0, \gamma u'(1) = u'(0), u''(0) = 0, \\ \phi_p(D^\beta u(0)) = 0, \phi_p(D^\beta u(\xi)) = (\phi_p(D^\beta u(1)))', (\phi_p(D^\beta u(0)))'' = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$



has a solution of the form

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)\phi_q\left(\int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(s, \tau)y(\tau)d\tau\right)ds, \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}(t, s) = \begin{cases} -\frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{t}{1-\xi}\left(\frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(\xi-s)^{\alpha-1}\right), & s \leq t, \xi \geq s \\ \frac{t}{1-\xi}\left(\frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(\xi-s)^{\alpha-1}\right), & t \leq s, \xi \geq s \\ -\frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{t}{1-\xi}\left(\frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)}\right), & s \leq t, s \geq \xi \\ \frac{t}{1-\xi}\left(\frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)}\right), & t \leq s, s \geq \xi, \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

and  $G(t, s)$  is given by (2.3).

*Proof.* Applying integral  $I^\alpha$  on the differential equation in (2.9) and using lemma (1.8), we obtain

$$\phi_p(D^\beta u(t)) = -I^\alpha y(t) + c_1 + c_2 t + c_3 t^2. \tag{2.12}$$

The boundary conditions  $\phi_p(D^\beta u(0)) = 0, (\phi_p(D^\beta u(0)))'' = 0$  lead to  $c_1 = 0 = c_3$  and the boundary condition  $\phi_p(D^\beta u(\xi)) = (\phi_p(D^\beta u(1)))'$  yields  $c_2 = \frac{1}{1-\xi}(I^{\alpha-1}y(1) - I^\alpha y(\xi))$ . Consequently, (2.12) takes the form

$$\phi_p(D^\beta u(t)) = -I^\alpha y(t) + \frac{t}{1-\xi}(I^{\alpha-1}y(1) - I^\alpha y(\xi)), \tag{2.13}$$

which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_p(D^\beta u(t)) &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}y(s)ds \\ &\quad + \frac{t}{1-\xi}\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-2}y(s)ds\right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\xi (\xi-s)^{\alpha-1}y(s)ds\right) \\ &= \int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(t, s)y(s)ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

The boundary value problem (2.9) reduces to the following problem

$$\begin{aligned} D^\beta u(t) &= \phi_q\left(\int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(t, s)y(s)ds\right) \\ u(0) &= 0, \quad \gamma u'(1) = u'(0), \quad u''(0) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

which in view of lemma (2.1) yields the required result

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)\phi_q\left(\int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(s, \tau)y(\tau)d\tau\right)ds.$$

□



**Lemma 2.3.** *The function  $\mathcal{H}(t, s)$  defined by (2.11) is continuous on  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  and satisfies the following relations*

- (A)  $\mathcal{H}(t, s) \geq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{H}(t, s) \leq \mathcal{H}(1, s)$ , for  $t, s \in [0, 1]$   
 (B)  $\mathcal{H}(t, s) \geq t^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{H}(1, s)$  for  $t, s \in (0, 1)$

*Proof.* Continuity of  $H$  clearly follows from the definition of  $H$ . For  $0 < s \leq t \leq \xi < 1$ , we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(t, s) &= -\frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{t}{1-\xi} \left( \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (\xi-s)^{\alpha-1} \right) \\ &= -t^{\alpha-1} \frac{(1-\frac{s}{t})^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{t}{1-\xi} \left( \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (\xi-s)^{\alpha-1} \right) \\ &\geq -\frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (1-s)^{\alpha-1} + \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{1-\xi} \left( \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (\xi-s)^{\alpha-1} \right) \\ &= \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{(1-\xi)\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( -(1-s)^{\alpha-1} (1-\xi) + (1-s)^{\alpha-2} (\alpha-1) - (\xi-s)^{\alpha-1} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{(1-\xi)\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( -(1-s)^{\alpha-1} + \xi^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-1} - (\xi-s)^{\alpha-1} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. (1-s)^{\alpha-2} (\alpha-1) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{(1-\xi)\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( -(1-s)^{\alpha-1} + (\xi-s)^{\alpha-1} - (\xi-s)^{\alpha-1} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. (1-s)^{\alpha-2} (\alpha-1) \right) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The other cases can be deal similarly. Now,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t}(t, s) = \begin{cases} -\frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} + \frac{1}{1-\xi} \left( \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (\xi-s)^{\alpha-1} \right), & s \leq t, \xi \geq s \\ \frac{1}{1-\xi} \left( \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (\xi-s)^{\alpha-1} \right), & t \leq s, \xi \geq s \\ -\frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} + \frac{1}{1-\xi} \left( \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \right), & s \leq t, s \geq \xi \\ \frac{1}{1-\xi} \left( \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \right), & t \leq s, s \geq \xi, \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t}(t, s) > 0$  which implies that  $\mathcal{H}(t, s)$  is an increasing function of  $t$ . Hence  $\mathcal{H}(t, s) \leq \mathcal{H}(1, s)$ .

Part (B) follows from the following

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{H}(t, s)}{\mathcal{H}(1, s)} &= \frac{-\frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{t}{1-\xi} \left( \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (\xi-s)^{\alpha-1} \right)}{-\frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{1}{1-\xi} \left( \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (\xi-s)^{\alpha-1} \right)} \\ &\geq \frac{-t^{\alpha-1} \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{1-\xi} \left( \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (\xi-s)^{\alpha-1} \right)}{-\frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{1}{1-\xi} \left( \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (\xi-s)^{\alpha-1} \right)} \\ &\geq t^{\alpha-1} \frac{-\frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{1}{1-\xi} \left( \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (\xi-s)^{\alpha-1} \right)}{-\frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{1}{1-\xi} \left( \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (\xi-s)^{\alpha-1} \right)} = t^{\alpha-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□



Assume that the following hold:

(W1)  $0 < \int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(1, \tau)a(\tau)d\tau < +\infty$ .

(W2) There exist  $0 < \delta < 1$  and  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$f(x) \leq \delta L\phi_p(x), \text{ for } 0 \leq x \leq \rho, \tag{2.16}$$

where  $0 < L \leq (\phi_p(\varpi_1)\delta \int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(1, s)a(s)ds)^{-1}$ ,  $\varpi_1 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} + \frac{\gamma}{(1-\gamma)\Gamma(\beta)}$ .

(W3) There exist  $b > 0$ , such that

$$f(x) \leq M\phi_p(x), \text{ for } x > b, 0 < M < (\phi_p(\varpi_1 2^{q-1}) \int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(1, \tau)a(\tau)d\tau)^{-1}. \tag{2.17}$$

(W4)  $f(x)$  is non-decreasing in  $x$ .

(W5) There exist  $0 \leq \theta < 1$  such that

$$f(kx) \geq (\phi_p(k))^\theta f(x), \text{ for any } 0 < k < 1 \text{ and } 0 < x < +\infty \tag{2.18}$$

**2.1. Existence and Uniqueness of solutions:**

**Theorem 2.4.** *Under the assumptions (W1) and (W2), the boundary value problem (1.5) has at least one positive solution.*

*Proof.* Define  $K_1 = \{u \in C[0, 1] : 0 \leq u(t) \leq \rho\}$  a closed convex set [9] and an operator  $\mathcal{T} : K_1 \rightarrow C[0, 1]$  by

$$\mathcal{T}u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)\phi_q(\int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(s, \tau)a(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau)ds. \tag{2.19}$$

By lemma (2.2),  $u$  is a solution of the boundary value problem (1.5) if and only if  $u$  is a fixed point of  $\mathcal{T}$ . For any  $u \in K_1$ , using (W2) and lemma (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}u(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \phi_q(\int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(s, \tau)a(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau)ds \\ &+ \frac{t\gamma}{(1-\gamma)(\Gamma(\beta-1))} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-2} \phi_q(\int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(s, \tau)a(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau)ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \phi_q(\int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(1, \tau)a(\tau)\delta L\phi_p(\rho)d\tau)ds \\ &+ \frac{t\gamma}{(1-\gamma)(\Gamma(\beta-1))} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-2} \phi_q(\int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(1, \tau)a(\tau)\delta L\phi_p(\rho)d\tau)ds \\ &\leq (\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} + \frac{1}{(1-\gamma)} \frac{\gamma}{\Gamma(\beta)}) \phi_q(\int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(1, \tau)a(\tau)d\tau) \phi_q(\delta) \phi_q(L) \rho \\ &= \varpi_1 \phi_q(\int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(1, \tau)a(\tau)d\tau) \phi_q(\delta) \phi_q(L) \rho \leq \rho, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\mathcal{T}(K_1) \subseteq K_1$  and also demonstrate that  $\mathcal{T}$  is uniformly bounded. In order to show the compactness of the operator  $\mathcal{T}$ , we only need to show that it is



equicontinuous. For  $u \in K_1$  and  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$  with  $t_1 \leq t_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{T}u(t_2) - \mathcal{T}u(t_1)| &= \left| \int_0^1 (G(t_2, s) - G(t_1, s)) \phi_q \left( \int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(s, \tau) a(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |G(t_2, s) - G(t_1, s)| \phi_q \left( \int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(s, \tau) a(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

which in view of (2.8) implies that  $|\mathcal{T}u(t_2) - \mathcal{T}u(t_1)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ . Hence by Arzela Ascoli theorem,  $\mathcal{T}$  is compact. By Schauder fixed point theorem  $\mathcal{T}$  has a fixed point in  $K_1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *Under the assumption (W1) and (W3), the boundary value problem for fractional differential equation (1.5) has at least one positive solution.*

*Proof.* Let  $b > 0$  as given in (W3). Define  $\chi = \max_{0 \leq x \leq b} f(x)$ . Then  $f(x) \leq \chi$  for  $0 \leq x \leq b$ . In view of (W3), we have

$$\varpi_1 2^{q-1} \phi_q(M) \phi_q \left( \int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(1, \tau) a(\tau) d\tau \right) < 1.$$

Choose  $b^* > b$  large enough such that

$$\varpi_1 2^{q-1} (\phi_q(\chi) + \phi_q(M)b^*) \phi_q \left( \int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(1, \tau) a(\tau) d\tau \right) < b^*. \quad (2.20)$$

Define  $K_1 = \{u \in C[0, 1] : 0 \leq u(t) \leq b^* \text{ on } [0, 1]\}$ . For  $u \in K_1$ , define  $S_1 = \{t \in [0, 1] : 0 \leq u(t) \leq b\}$ ,  $S_2 = \{t \in [0, 1] : b < u(t) \leq b^*\}$ . Then we have  $S_1 \cup S_2 = [0, 1]$  and  $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$  and in view of (2.17), it follows that

$$f(u(t)) \leq M\phi_p(u(t)) \leq M\phi_p(b^*) \text{ for } t \in S_2. \quad (2.21)$$

For  $u \in K_1$ , using Lemma (2.3) and (2.17), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}u(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \phi_q \left( \int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(s, \tau) a(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{t\gamma}{1-\gamma} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-2} \phi_q \left( \int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(s, \tau) a(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\leq \varpi_1 \phi_q \left( \int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(1, \tau) a(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right) \\ &= \varpi_1 \phi_q \left( \int_{S_1} \mathcal{H}(1, \tau) a(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau + \int_{S_2} \mathcal{H}(1, \tau) a(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \varpi_1 \phi_q \left( \chi \int_{S_1} \mathcal{H}(1, \tau) a(\tau) d\tau + M\phi_p(b^*) \int_{S_2} \mathcal{H}(1, \tau) a(\tau) d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \varpi_1 \phi_q (\chi + M\phi_p(b^*)) \phi_q \left( \int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(1, \tau) a(\tau) d\tau \right). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.20) and by the help of inequality  $(a+b)^r \leq 2^r(a^r + b^r)$  for any  $a, b, r > 0$ , we have

$$0 \leq \mathcal{T}u(t) \leq \varpi_1 2^{q-1} (\phi_q(\chi) + \phi_q(M)b^*) \phi_q \left( \int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(1, \tau) a(\tau) d\tau \right) \leq b^*,$$





which implies that  $\mathcal{T}(K_2) \subseteq K_2$ . Hence by Schauder fixed point theorem  $\mathcal{T}$  has a fixed point  $u \in K_1$ . □

**Theorem 2.6.** Assume that (W1), (W4) and (W5) hold. Then the fractional differential equation (1.5) has a unique positive solution

*Proof.* Define  $P = \{u \in C[0, 1] : u(t) \geq 0 \text{ on } [0, 1]\}$ . Then  $P$  is a normal solid cone in  $C[0, 1]$  with  $P^\circ = \{u \in C[0, 1] : u(t) > 0 \text{ on } [0, 1]\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T} : P \rightarrow C[0, 1]$  be defined by (2.19) We show that  $\mathcal{T}$  is  $\theta$ -concave increasing operator. For  $u_1, u_2 \in P$  with  $u_1 \geq u_2$  we have  $\mathcal{T}(u_1) \geq \mathcal{T}(u_2)$  on  $[0, 1]$ , and from  $f(ku) \geq \phi_q(k^\theta)f(u)$  we have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(ku(t)) &\geq \int_0^1 G(t, s)\phi_q\left(\int_0^1 H(t, \tau)\phi_q(k^\theta)f(u)d\tau\right)ds \\ &= k^\theta \int_0^1 G(t, s)\phi_q\left(\int_0^1 H(t, \tau)f(u)d\tau\right)ds = k^\theta\mathcal{T}(u(t)), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\mathcal{T}$  is  $\theta$ -concave operator. Thus  $\mathcal{T}$  has a unique fixed point □

**Example 2.7.** Consider the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} D^{2.5}(\phi_p(D^{2.5}u(t))) + tu(t) &= 0, \\ u(0) = 0, 1/2u'(1) = u'(0), u''(0) &= 0 \\ \phi_p(D^{2.5}u(0)) = 0, \phi_p(D^{2.5}u(1/2)) &= (\phi_p(D^{2.5}u(1)))', (\phi_p(D^{2.5}u(0)))'' = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Here we have  $\alpha = \beta = 2.5, \xi = \gamma = 1/2, a(t) = t, f(u(t)) = u(t)$ . By simple computation, we obtain  $0 < L \leq 1.7807, \delta = 1/2$ . Choose  $L = 1$  and  $\delta = 1/2$ , the conditions (W1) and (W2) are satisfied. Hence, by theorem (2.4), the fractional differential equation (2.22) has at least one positive solution.

**Example 2.8.** For the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} D^{2.5}(\phi_p(D^{2.5}u(t))) + t\sqrt[3]{u(t)} &= 0, \\ u(0) = 0, \gamma u'(1) = u'(0), u''(0) &= 0 \\ \phi_p(D^{2.5}u(0)) = 0, \phi_p(D^{2.5}u(1/2)) &= (\phi_p(D^{2.5}u(1)))', \\ (\phi_p(D^{2.5}u(0)))'' &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

we have  $\alpha = \beta = 2.5, \xi = \eta = 1/2, a(t) = t, f(u(t)) = \sqrt[3]{u(t)}$  and by simple computation we get  $M < .4337$  and thus by choosing  $M = .3333, b = 1$  and  $q = 2$ , we see that (2.23) satisfy (W1) and (W3). Hence by theorem (2.4), the fractional differential equation (2.23) has at least one positive solution.

**Example 2.9.**

$$\begin{aligned} D^{2.5}(\phi_p(D^{2.5}u(t))) + t\sqrt{u(t)} &= 0, \\ u(0) = 0, \gamma u'(1) = u'(0), u''(0) &= 0 \\ \phi_p(D^{2.5}u(0)) = 0, \phi_p(D^{2.5}u(1/2)) &= (\phi_p(D^{2.5}u(1)))', (\phi_p(D^{2.5}u(0)))'' = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$



For the uniqueness of solution for fractional differential equation (2.24), we apply theorem (2.6). In equation (2.24), we have  $\alpha = \beta = 2.5$ ,  $\xi = \gamma = 1/2$ ,  $a(t) = t$ ,  $f(u(t)) = \sqrt{u(t)}$  it is clear that (2.24) satisfy conditions (W1), (W4). Also considering  $\theta = 1/2$ , W5 is satisfied. Thus by theorem (2.6), fractional differential equation (2.24) has a unique solution.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Ahmad and J. J. Nieto, *Existence of solutions for nonlocal boundary value problems of higher-order nonlinear fractional differential equations*, Abstr. Appl. anal., (2009) Art. ID 494720, 9pp.
- [2] B. Ahmad and J. J. Nieto, *Existence results for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations with three point boundary conditions*, Comput. Math. Appl., 58 (2009), 1838-1843.
- [3] M. Benchohra, J. R. Graef and S. Hamani, *Existence results for boundary value problems with nonlinear fractional differential equations*, Appl. Anal., 87 (2008), 851-863.
- [4] M. Benchohra, N. Hamidi and J. Henderson, *Fractional differential equations with anti-periodic boundary conditions*, Numerical Funct. Anal. Opti., 34(2013), 404-414.
- [5] X. Dou, Y. Li, P. Liu, *Existence of solutions for a four point boundary value problem of a nonlinear fractional differential equation*, Op. Math., 31 (2011), 359-372.
- [6] M. El-Shahed, *On the existence of positive solutions for a boundary value problem of fractional order*, Thai J. Math., 5 (2007), 143-150.
- [7] C. S. Goodrich, *Existence and uniqueness of solutions to a fractional difference equation with non-local conditions*, Comput. Math. Appl., 61 (2011), 191-202.
- [8] D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear problems in abstract Cones*, Academic press, Orland, 1988.
- [9] Z. Han, H. Lu, S. Sun and D. Yang, *Positive solution to boundary value problem of p-laplacian fractional differential equations with a parameter in the boundary*, Elect. J. diff. Equat., (2012), No. 213, 1-14.
- [10] R. Hilfer, *Application of fractional calculus in physics*, World scientific publishing Co. Singapore, 2000.
- [11] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Amsterdame, 2006.
- [12] R. A. Khan and M. Rehman, *Existence of Multiple Positive Solutions for a General System of Fractional Differential Equations*, Commun. Appl. Nonlinear Anal., 18(2011), 25-35.
- [13] R. A. Khan, M. Rehman and N. Asif, *Three point boundary value problems for nonlinear fractional differential equations*, Acta. Math. Scientia, 31B4(2011), 1-10.
- [14] R. A. Khan, *Three-point boundary value problems for higher order nonlinear fractional differential equations*, J. Appl. Math. Informatics, 31(2013), 221-228.
- [15] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, *An introduction to the fractional calculus and fractional differential equations*, Wiley, 1993.
- [16] K. B. Oldhalm and J. Spainer, *The fractional calculus*, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [17] I. Podlubny, *Fractional differential equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1999.
- [18] M. Rehman and R. A. Khan, *Existence and uniqueness of solutions for multi-point boundary value problems for fractional differential equations*, Appl. Math. Letters, 23(2010), 1038-1044.
- [19] M. Rehman and R.A. Khan, *A note on boundary value problems for a coupled system of fractional differential equations*, Comput. Math. Appl., 61(2011), 2630-2637.
- [20] M. Rehman, R. A. Khan and J. Henderson, *Existence and uniqueness of solutions for nonlinear fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions*, Fract. Dyn. Syst., 1 (2011), 29-43.
- [21] J. Sabatier, O. P. Agrawal, J. A. Tenreiro and Machado, *Advances in Fractional Calculus*, Springer, 2007.
- [22] X. Su, *Boundary value problem for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations*, Appl. Math. Lett., 22 (2009), 6469.



- [23] B. Wang, *Positive solutions for boundary value problems on a half line*, Int. J. Math. Analysis, 3 (2009), 221-229.
- [24] X. Xu and B. Xu, *Sign-Changing solutions of  $p$ -Laplacian equation with a sub-linear nonlinearity at infinity*, Elect. J. diff. Equat., (2013), No. 61, 1-20.
- [25] C. Yuan, D. Jiang and X. Xu, *Singular positive and semipositone boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations*, Math. Probl. Engineering, (2009), Article ID 535209, 17 pages.
- [26] J. J. Zhang, W. B. Liu, J. B. Ni and T. Y. Chen, *Multiple periodic solutions of  $p$ -Laplacian equation with one side nagumo condition*, J. Korean Math. Soc., 45(2008), 1549-1559.

